Netflix, Inc. v. Blockbuster, Inc.

Doc. 69 Att. 3

EXHIBIT B (Part 2 of 3)

documents sufficient to reasonably describe the development of Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe fully the corporate organization of BLOCKBUSTER and each of its divisions, subdivisions, units, subsidiaries or affiliates, including but not limited to organizational charts, personnel directories, telephone directories, and electronic mail user and address lists.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe the corporate organization of Blockbuster Inc. since 2004 and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to identify each and every BLOCKBUSTER officer, manager, director, agent, and employee who had responsibility for, or who

STEIN &

was assigned to implement, the design, research, development, testing, and operation of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER" and "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably identify employees of Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO agreements between

BLOCKBUSTER and any consultant, including but not limited to ACCENTURE,

23 | IBM, and David Perkovich, to provide consultation services or products to

BLOCKBUSTER relating to the development or operation of

25 BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

26

27

documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "ACCENTURE," "IBM," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe any agreements by Blockbuster Inc. for consulting services provided by Accenture, IBM, and David Perkovich for Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

All DOCUMENTS exchanged between BLOCKBUSTER and any consultant, including but not limited to ACCENTURE, IBM, and David Perkovich, in connection with any agreement to provide consulting services or products to BLOCKBUSTER relating to the development or operation of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "ACCENTURE," and "IBM."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe consulting services provided by Accenture, IBM, and David Perkovich for Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to identify the employees, contractors, or agents of any consultant, including but not limited to ACCENTURE, IBM and DVDRentalCentral, who provided any information or performed any service for BLOCKBUSTER in connection with the design, research, development, testing, marketing, and operation of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "ACCENTURE," and "IBM."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product reasonably identify employees of Accenture, IBM, and David Perkovich who are known to Blockbuster Inc. to have had significant involvement in providing consulting services for Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the consideration by BLOCKBUSTER, or by any consultant retained in connection with developing or operating BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, of NETFLIX's ON-LINE rental system, products, services, website, or methods.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "NETFLIX," and "ON-LINE."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster

will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing consideration of Netflix in connection with developing or operating Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the consideration by BLOCKBUSTER, or by any consultant retained in connection with developing or operating BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, of any NETFLIX patents or patent applications.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "NETFLIX."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing consideration of Netflix patents or patent applications in connection with developing or operating Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to show when BLOCKBUSTER, or any

STEIN &

AHAN LLP

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

consultant retained by BLOCKBUSTER in connection with

BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, first became aware of each of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER" and "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably show when Blockbuster Inc. became aware of the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the patentability of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT including without limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, or opinions, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent

AHAN LLP

that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were or are patentable and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the validity or invalidity of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation, or opinions, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases

for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were or are valid and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the novelty or lack thereof of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation, or options, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were novel and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this

STEIN &

case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the obviousness or nonobviousness of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation, or opinions, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were obvious and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the enforceability or nonenforceability of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation, or

AHAN LLP

opinions, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents providing evidence whether claims of the patents-in-suit were or are enforceable and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO actual or potential infringement or non-infringement of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT by BLOCKBUSTERONLINE, including without limitation, any study, analysis, review, conclusions, research, investigation or opinions, whether written or oral.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-

product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE" and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing infringement or non-infringement of the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any opinion of legal counsel with respect to infringement, non-infringement, validity, invalidity, enforceability, interpretation or scope of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT, including without limitation any oral or written options or advice.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is

beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will produce any requested documents only at the time provided in the Court's scheduling order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION between BLOCKBUSTER, or anyone acting on its behalf, and any other third party about the patentability, validity, enforceability, scope, or infringement by BLOCKBUSTERONLINE of any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "RELATING TO," and "other third party."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product communications between Blockbuster and unrelated third parties discussing

STEIN &

patentability, validity, enforceability, scope, or infringement by Blockbuster Online of the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION, study, analysis, review, conclusions, or opinions, whether written or oral, regarding any of the NCR PATENTS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing the NCR patents and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATION, study, analysis, review, conclusions, or opinions, whether written or oral, as to United States Patent. No. 5,459,306, issued on October 17, 1995, entitled "Method and System for Delivering On Demand, Individually Targeted Promotions."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing the '306 patent and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any comparison of the functions, features, or characteristics of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE with any of the functions, features, or characteristics of NETFLIX's system.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor

KAHAN LLP

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE" and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents comparing Blockbuster Online with Netflix and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING to any comparison of the functions, features, or characteristics of BLOCKBUSTERONLINE with any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents comparing Blockbuster Online with the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING to any comparison of the functions, features, or claims of the NCR PATENTS with any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents comparing the NCR patents with any claims of the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING to any comparison of the functions, features, or claims of United States Patent. No. 5,459,306, issued on October 17, 1995, entitled "Method and System for Delivering On Demand, Individually Targeted Promotions," with any claim of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by

reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents comparing the '306 patent with any claims of the patents-in-suit and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any patent, literature or prior art search conducted with respect to either of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

GROSSMAN

STEIN &

AHAN LLP

the Patent Local Rules, and the Court's Scheduling Order at this time. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing searches referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any COMMUNICATIONS between BLOCKBUSTER and NETFLIX.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents constituting or recording communications between Blockbuster Inc. and Netflix, Inc., and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

///

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe fully BLOCKBUSTER's electronic mail, electronic mail backup, and electronic mail deletion policies.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "BLOCKBUSTER."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe any potentially relevant email policies of Blockbuster Inc. in effect between January 1, 2003 and the present and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

DOCUMENTS sufficient to describe fully each of BLOCKBUSTER's document retention policies in effect between January 1, 2003 to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-

STEIN &

AHAN LLP

product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "BLOCKBUSTER."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe any potentially relevant document retention policies of Blockbuster Inc. during the stated period and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's expenditures to develop and implement BLOCKBUSTERONLINE.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe expenditures to develop and implement Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's expenditures to maintain and operate BLOCKBUSTERONLINE from launch to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," and "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe expenditures to maintain and operate Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

28 ///

///

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER'S consideration(s) of acquiring NETFLIX, including without limitation any COMMUNICATION, plan, report, analysis and research.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," and "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing Blockbuster Inc.'s acquiring Netflix and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any actions taken by BLOCKBUSTER RELATING TO the potential acquisition of NETFLIX, including without limitation any plans or financial arrangements.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-

product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing actions taken by Blockbuster Inc. to acquire Netflix and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER'S consideration of selling BLOCKBUSTERONLINE to any company, including without limitation any COMMUNICATION, plan, report, analysis, or research.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the

terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing Blockbuster Inc.'s selling Blockbuster Online and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the possibility of BLOCKBUSTER'S obtaining a license to any of the PATENTS-IN-SUIT from NETFLIX, including without limitation any COMMUNICATION, plan, report, analysis, or research.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents discussing Blockbuster Inc.'s obtaining a license to the patents-in-suit from Netflix and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

STEIN &

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

All DOCUMENTS referred to or described an Pages 72 through 77 of BLOCKBUSTER's July 28, 2006 Supplemented Initial Disclosures as documents that may BLOCKBUSTER may use to support its claims and defenses.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents as requested by this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING to the categories of damages and the computation thereof described on pages 77 through 79 of BLOCKBUSTER's July 28, 2006 Supplemented Initial Disclosures.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product

STEIN &

documents showing the damages referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:

All DOCUMENTS on Page 79 of BLOCKBUSTER's July 28, 2006 Supplemented Initial Disclosures as documents supporting Blockbuster Inc.'s damages.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents as requested by this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's allegation in its ANSWER, ¶ 88, that "[t]he relevant product market…is the market for ON-LINE DVD rentals and related subscription services," including without limitation all documents that tend to support or contradict that allegation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the

terms "ON-LINE" and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe and support the relevant market referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the market(s) in which ONLINE DVD rental companies such as BLOCKBUSTERONLINE and NETFLIX compete or may compete, including without limitation any reports, analyses, studies, or statements made by BLOCKBUSTER, or on its behalf, concerning such market(s) or market definitions.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "NETFLIX," "ON-LINE," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe and support the market referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been

STEIN &

produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the identity or characteristics of actual or prospective competitors with ONLINE DVD rental companies, such as BLOCKBUSTERONLINE and NETFLIX, including without limitation any reports, analyses, studies, or statements made by BLOCKBUSTER, or on its behalf, concerning actual or prospective competition from IN-STORE rentals, new or used DVD sales, Broadband Internet, or video on demand.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the terms "BLOCKBUSTER," "BLOCKBUSTERONLINE," "NETFLIX," "ON-LINE," and "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably identify competitors referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's allegation in

AHAN LLP

its ANSWER, ¶ 88, that "the relevant geographical market is the United States and its territories as a whole," including without limitation all documents that tend to support or contradict that allegation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by reference. Blockbuster specifically objects to this request to the extent it seeks documents that are protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity and to the extent that it seeks documents that are confidential or proprietary. Blockbuster further specifically objects to this request on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, is not relevant to nor calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case, and is beyond the scope of discovery permitted by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The bases for these objections include, without limitation, this request's use of the term "RELATING TO."

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Blockbuster will conduct a reasonable and diligent search for non-privileged, non-work-product documents sufficient to reasonably describe and support the market referred to in this request and will produce such documents so located that have not already been produced in this case.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO BLOCKBUSTER's allegation in its ANSWER, ¶ 89, that "throughout the relevant time period up to and including the present, Netflix has had market power in the relevant product and geographical markets," including without limitation all documents that tend to support or contradict that allegation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

Each of Blockbuster's General Objections is incorporated here by